

# Table of Contents

---

## SIGNATURE PAGE

LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	XVII
<b>S.0 SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>S-1</b>
<b>S.1 Project Description.....</b>	<b>S-1</b>
<b>S.2 Purpose of and Need for Action .....</b>	<b>S-3</b>
<b>S.3 Context Sensitive Solutions and Public Outreach.....</b>	<b>S-5</b>
<b>S.4 Alternatives Considered .....</b>	<b>S-6</b>
<b>S.5 Environmental Resources, Impacts, and Mitigation .....</b>	<b>S-17</b>
<b>S.6 Comparison of Alternatives .....</b>	<b>S-22</b>
<b>S.7 Other Proposed Actions .....</b>	<b>S-25</b>
<b>S.8 Major Unresolved Issues with Other Agencies.....</b>	<b>S-25</b>
<b>S.9 Other Federal Actions Required for the Proposed Action .....</b>	<b>S-25</b>
<b>1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>1.1 Project Background.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>1.2 Project Purpose .....</b>	<b>1-2</b>
<b>1.3 Study Area .....</b>	<b>1-3</b>
<b>1.4 Project Need .....</b>	<b>1-4</b>
1.4.1 Regional and Local Travel.....	1-4
1.4.2 Access to Employment .....	1-7
1.4.3 Safety for All Users .....	1-9
1.4.4 Modal Connections and Opportunities.....	1-13
1.4.5 Transportation Facility Deficiencies .....	1-15
<b>2.0 ALTERNATIVES.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.2 Initial Alternatives Identification .....</b>	<b>2-2</b>
2.2.1 Initial Range of Stakeholder Suggestions .....	2-3
2.2.2 Single Mode Alternatives Identification .....	2-3
<b>2.3 Round 1 Single Mode Alternatives Evaluation .....</b>	<b>2-8</b>
2.3.1 Single Mode Alternatives Definition .....	2-8
2.3.2 Footprint and Fatal Flaw Screening Results .....	2-9
2.3.3 Round 1 Evaluation Results.....	2-10
2.3.4 Initial Combination Mode Alternatives .....	2-16

2.4	<b>Round 2 Combination Mode Alternatives Evaluation</b> .....	<b>2-19</b>
2.4.1	Combination Mode Alternatives Definition.....	2-19
2.4.2	Round 2 Evaluation Results.....	2-23
2.4.3	Round 3 DEIS Alternatives .....	2-32
2.5	<b>Round 3 DEIS Alternatives</b> .....	<b>2-32</b>
2.5.1	Study Area Extension .....	2-32
2.5.2	Interchange Type Selection and Refinement Summary.....	2-34
2.5.3	Round 3 Travel Performance .....	2-46
2.5.4	Other Round 3 Refinements and Considerations .....	2-50
2.6	<b>Conclusion – Alternatives Carried Forward for Further Analysis</b> .....	<b>2-59</b>
3.0	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION</b> .....	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	<b>Social/Economic Characteristics</b> .....	<b>3-4</b>
3.1.1	Population Characteristics .....	3-4
3.1.2	Corridor Economic Characteristics .....	3-10
3.1.3	Project Corridor Neighborhoods.....	3-19
3.1.4	Public Facilities and Services .....	3-28
3.1.5	Local Planning .....	3-33
3.1.6	Community Cohesion and Community Changes .....	3-37
3.1.7	Relocations and Right-of-Way.....	3-40
3.1.8	Transportation Network.....	3-46
3.1.9	Environmental Justice.....	3-81
3.2	<b>Cultural Resources</b> .....	<b>3-107</b>
3.2.1	Consultation .....	3-108
3.2.2	Affected Environment .....	3-110
3.2.3	Environmental Consequences .....	3-120
3.3	<b>Air Quality</b> .....	<b>3-122</b>
3.3.1	Affected Environment .....	3-123
3.3.2	Environmental Consequences .....	3-132
3.3.3	Measures to Minimize Harm and Mitigation.....	3-141
3.4	<b>Traffic Noise</b> .....	<b>3-143</b>
3.4.1	Affected Environment .....	3-143
3.4.2	Environmental Consequences .....	3-156
3.4.3	Mitigation .....	3-158
3.5	<b>Energy</b> .....	<b>3-160</b>
3.6	<b>Natural Resources</b> .....	<b>3-162</b>
3.6.1	Affected Environment .....	3-162
3.6.2	Environmental Consequences .....	3-167
3.6.3	Measures to Minimize Harm and Mitigation.....	3-171

<b>3.7 Water Resources and Aquatic Habitats.....</b>	<b>3-173</b>
3.7.1 Affected Environment .....	3-173
3.7.2 Environmental Consequences .....	3-185
3.7.3 Measures to Minimize Harm and Mitigation.....	3-192
<b>3.8 Groundwater .....</b>	<b>3-195</b>
3.8.1 Affected Environment .....	3-195
3.8.2 Environmental Consequences .....	3-196
3.8.3 Measures to Minimize Harm and Mitigation.....	3-197
<b>3.9 Floodplains .....</b>	<b>3-198</b>
3.9.1 Affected Environment .....	3-198
3.9.2 Environmental Consequences .....	3-200
3.9.3 Measures to Minimize Harm and Mitigation.....	3-206
<b>3.10 Wetlands .....</b>	<b>3-207</b>
3.10.1 Affected Environment .....	3-207
3.10.2 Environmental Consequences .....	3-207
<b>3.11 Special Waste .....</b>	<b>3-208</b>
3.11.1 Affected Environment .....	3-209
3.11.2 Environmental Consequences .....	3-215
3.11.3 Measures to Minimize Harm and Mitigation.....	3-216
<b>3.12 Special Lands .....</b>	<b>3-218</b>
3.12.1 Affected Environment .....	3-218
3.12.2 Environmental Consequences .....	3-227
3.12.3 De minimis Determination for Parks within the Village of Forest Park.....	3-236
3.12.4 Determination of Section 4(f) Exception at Columbus Park .....	3-237
3.12.5 Measures to Minimize Harm and Mitigation.....	3-238
<b>3.13 Visual Resources .....</b>	<b>3-239</b>
3.13.1 Existing Conditions Visual Analysis as viewed from the Mainline .....	3-239
3.13.2 Viewsheds of the Project Corridor from Adjacent Land Uses .....	3-249
3.13.3 Columbus Park .....	3-260
3.13.4 Environmental Consequences .....	3-264
3.13.5 Mitigation .....	3-280
<b>3.14 Construction Impacts.....</b>	<b>3-288</b>
3.14.1 Transportation .....	3-288
3.14.2 Water Resources .....	3-293
3.14.3 Air Quality.....	3-294
3.14.4 Construction Noise and Vibration.....	3-295
3.14.5 Solid Waste.....	3-296
3.14.6 Utility Services .....	3-297

3.14.7	Energy .....	3-297
3.14.8	Parks and Recreational Facilities.....	3-297
<b>3.15</b>	<b>Indirect and Cumulative Impacts.....</b>	<b>3-298</b>
3.15.1	Approach.....	3-298
3.15.2	Indirect Impacts.....	3-298
3.15.3	Cumulative Impacts.....	3-308
<b>3.16</b>	<b>Short-Term Use and Long-Term Productivity .....</b>	<b>3-311</b>
<b>3.17</b>	<b>Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources.....</b>	<b>3-312</b>
<b>3.18</b>	<b>Permits and Approvals.....</b>	<b>3-314</b>
<b>3.19</b>	<b>Summary of Environmental Commitments and Mitigation.....</b>	<b>3-315</b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>AGENCY COORDINATION AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Outreach Requirements .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1.1	Federal and State Requirements.....	4-1
4.1.2	Stakeholder Involvement Plan .....	4-2
4.1.3	Project Study Group.....	4-2
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Corridor Advisory Group/Task Force (CAG/TF) Meetings .....</b>	<b>4-3</b>
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Stakeholder, Community, and Agency Coordination.....</b>	<b>4-10</b>
4.3.1	Stakeholder Outreach .....	4-10
4.3.2	Community Coordination.....	4-13
4.3.3	Agency Coordination.....	4-15
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Public Hearing .....</b>	<b>4-18</b>
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Summary of Comments and Responses on the DEIS .....</b>	<b>4-19</b>
4.5.1	Federal Resource Agency Comments.....	4-20
4.5.2	Local Governments .....	4-22
4.5.3	Public Organizations.....	4-23
<b>4.6</b>	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>4-23</b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Alternatives Carried Forward .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1.1	Accommodation for High Capacity Transit .....	5-5
5.1.2	Comparison Matrix of Alternatives .....	5-6
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Performance Comparison .....</b>	<b>5-12</b>
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Social/Economic and Environmental Impacts Comparison.....</b>	<b>5-14</b>
5.3.1	Social/Economic Impacts (including Environmental Justice) .....	5-14
5.3.2	Section 4(f) Resources .....	5-17
5.3.3	Visual Resources.....	5-19
5.3.4	Air Quality.....	5-20
5.3.5	Special Waste .....	5-21

5.3.6	Natural Environment.....	5-22
5.3.7	Traffic Noise.....	5-22
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Other Considerations .....</b>	<b>5-24</b>
5.4.1	Tolling Revenues.....	5-24
5.4.2	Tolling and Pricing Policy and Strategies.....	5-24
5.4.3	Construction Staging .....	5-26
5.4.4	Construction Cost.....	5-26
<b>5.5</b>	<b>Preferred Alternative .....</b>	<b>5-27</b>
5.5.1	Achievement of Stakeholder Goals and Objectives.....	5-27
5.5.2	Quantitative Comparison of Alternatives.....	5-32
5.5.3	Implementation Flexibility.....	5-35
5.5.4	Refinements to HOT 3+ Included in the Preferred Alternative .....	5-36
5.5.5	Conclusion.....	5-37
<b>6.0</b>	<b>LIST OF PREPARERS.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
	<b>Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
	<b>Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
	<b>Consultant Team.....</b>	<b>6-2</b>
<b>7.0</b>	<b>LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PERSONS RECEIVING FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	<b>Federal Agencies.....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.2	<b>State Agencies .....</b>	<b>7-2</b>
7.3	<b>Local Agencies .....</b>	<b>7-3</b>
7.4	<b>Other Stakeholder Groups .....</b>	<b>7-4</b>
7.5	<b>Public Review Locations.....</b>	<b>7-5</b>
<b>8.0</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
<b>9.0</b>	<b>INDEX.....</b>	<b>9-1</b>

## List of Appendices

---

The following appendices are included in electronic format only on the disc provided with this document.

<b>APPENDIX A</b>	<b>CRASH ANALYSIS OVERVIEW 2011 – 2013 (WITH 2013 - 2015 UPDATE)</b>
<b>APPENDIX B</b>	<b>FORECASTING METHODOLOGY REPORTS</b>
<b>APPENDIX C</b>	<b>ALTERNATIVES IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION</b>
<b>APPENDIX D</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE METHODOLOGY TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM</b>
<b>APPENDIX E</b>	<b>SECTION 106 MATERIALS AND CORRESPONDENCE</b>
<b>APPENDIX F</b>	<b>TRAFFIC NOISE ABATEMENT ANALYSIS VOLUME 1 AND VOLUME 2</b>
<b>APPENDIX G</b>	<b>NATURAL RESOURCE REVIEW</b>
<b>APPENDIX H</b>	<b>WATER QUALITY DATA</b>
<b>APPENDIX I</b>	<b>SECTION 4(F) CORRESPONDENCE</b>
<b>APPENDIX J</b>	<b>NOISE BARRIER VISUALIZATIONS</b>
<b>APPENDIX K</b>	<b>NEPA/404 MATERIALS AND CORRESPONDENCE</b>
<b>APPENDIX L</b>	<b>I-290 COST ESTIMATING PROCEDURES</b>
<b>APPENDIX M</b>	<b>INVEST EVALUATION</b>
<b>APPENDIX N</b>	<b>COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE DEIS</b>
<b>APPENDIX O</b>	<b>RESPONSE TO DEIS COMMENTS</b>

# List of Figures

---

Figure S-1.	I-290 Study Area.....	S-2
Figure S-2.	I-290 Existing Configuration.....	S-2
Figure S-3.	I-290 Capacity Deficiencies .....	S-3
Figure S-4.	Existing I-290 Congestion .....	S-3
Figure S-5.	Comparison of Expressway Crash Rates .....	S-4
Figure S-6.	Existing CTA Access, I-290 at Harlem Avenue.....	S-4
Figure S-7.	I-290 Flooding East of DesPlaines Avenue .....	S-5
Figure S-8.	Alternatives Development and Evaluation Process.....	S-6
Figure S-9.	I-290 Project Corridor Sections.....	S-9
Figure S-10.	Four Build Alternatives Advanced to DEIS .....	S-10
Figure S-11.	Build Alternatives Rank and Ratio Score Comparison Bar Chart.....	S-24
Figure S-12.	HOT 3+ Alternative Typical Section and Travel Time Improvements .....	S-24
Figure 1-1.	I-290 Existing Configuration.....	1-2
Figure 1-2.	I-290 Study Area.....	1-3
Figure 1-3.	Existing I-290 Capacity.....	1-5
Figure 1-4.	2010 Existing Arterial Peak Period Volume to Capacity Ratios .....	1-7
Figure 1-5.	Comparison of Chicago Freeway Mainline Crash Rates.....	1-10
Figure 1-6.	Crash Comparison of I-290 Mainline Sections (2006-2008, 2011- 2013) .....	1-11
Figure 1-7.	Lane Drop/No Lane Drop and Left-Hand/Right-Hand Ramp Crash Comparison (2006-2008, 2011-2013) .....	1-12
Figure 1-8.	Overall Crash Type (2006-2008, 2011-2013).....	1-13
Figure 1-9.	Rear-End Crash – Time of Day (2006-2008, 2011-2013) .....	1-13
Figure 1-10.	Narrow Sidewalks with Obstructions at Harlem and Oak Park Avenues.....	1-14
Figure 1-11.	Flooding on I-290 in the Study Area .....	1-16
Figure 2-1.	Alternatives Development and Evaluation Process.....	2-1
Figure 2-2.	Transit Bus Network Assumptions .....	2-9
Figure 2-3.	Traditional Commute Travel Origins .....	2-12
Figure 2-4.	Reverse Commute Travel Origins .....	2-13
Figure 2-5.	Trip Diversions within Transit Modes.....	2-14
Figure 2-6.	Initial 10 Combination Mode Alternatives.....	2-18
Figure 2-7.	Additional Round 2 Combination Mode Alternatives .....	2-20
Figure 2-8.	Alternative Ranking Example .....	2-24
Figure 2-9.	Alternative Ranking Example (Ratio Scoring).....	2-25
Figure 2-10.	Round 2 Overall Alternatives Ranking.....	2-26
Figure 2-11.	Comparison of Rank (Ordinal) and Ratio Scoring .....	2-28
Figure 2-12.	Four Combination Mode Alternatives to be Evaluated in Round 3 .....	2-29
Figure 2-13.	I-290 Phase I Study Area .....	2-33
Figure 2-14.	I-290 Study Area Sections .....	2-34

Figure 2-15.	Interchanges Evaluated .....	2-35
Figure 2-16.	25 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Proposed Interchange Concept .....	2-37
Figure 2-17.	17 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Proposed Interchange Concept .....	2-38
Figure 2-18.	9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Proposed Interchange Concept .....	2-39
Figure 2-19.	1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue (IL 171) Proposed Interchange Concept .....	2-39
Figure 2-20.	DesPlaines Avenue Proposed Interchange Concept.....	2-41
Figure 2-21.	Harlem Avenue (IL 43) Proposed Interchange Concept .....	2-42
Figure 2-22.	Austin Boulevard Proposed Interchange Concept.....	2-43
Figure 2-23.	Austin Boulevard and Central Avenue Braided Ramp Configuration .....	2-44
Figure 2-24.	Central Avenue Proposed Interchange Concept .....	2-45
Figure 2-25.	Laramie Avenue and Cicero Avenue (IL 50) Proposed Interchange Concept.....	2-46
Figure 2-26.	Round 3 Transit Network .....	2-47
Figure 2-27.	Existing Expressway and Parallel CSX and CTA Constrained Section.....	2-50
Figure 2-28.	Existing CTA Right-of-Way Configuration.....	2-51
Figure 2-29.	10-Foot Right-of-Way and CTA Right-of-Way Configuration .....	2-52
Figure 2-30.	I-290 Expressway Improvement Configuration without 10-Foot CTA Right-of-Way .....	2-53
Figure 2-31.	I-290 Expressway Improvement Configuration with 10-Foot CTA Right-of-Way .....	2-53
Figure 2-32.	I-290 Expressway and CTA ROW Safety Evaluation.....	2-54
Figure 2-33.	I-290 Expressway and CTA Right-of-way Proposed Configuration .....	2-54
Figure 2-34.	Convertible Expressway Concept – Initial and Ultimate Configuration .....	2-56
Figure 2-35.	Key ITS System Elements.....	2-58
Figure 2-36.	Active Traffic Management Messages .....	2-58
Figure 2-37.	Four Build Alternatives Advanced to the DEIS.....	2-60
Figure 3-1.	I-290 Study Area and Project Corridor .....	3-3
Figure 3-2.	I-290 Median Household Income for Project Corridor Communities (2011).....	3-10
Figure 3-3.	Poverty Levels for Project Corridor Communities (2011) .....	3-11
Figure 3-4.	Existing Land Use – I-290 Study Area (Subarea 1).....	3-20
Figure 3-5.	Existing Land Use – I-290 Study Area (Subarea 2).....	3-21
Figure 3-6.	Existing Land Use – I-290 Study Area (Subarea 3).....	3-22
Figure 3-7.	Existing Land Use – I-290 Study Area (Subarea 4).....	3-23
Figure 3-8.	New and Additional Green Space Typical Section .....	3-39
Figure 3-9.	25 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Additional Right-of-Way Required .....	3-41
Figure 3-10.	1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue Additional Right-of-Way Required .....	3-42
Figure 3-11.	DesPlaines Avenue Additional Right-of-Way Required.....	3-43
Figure 3-12.	Circle Avenue Additional Right-of-Way Required.....	3-44
Figure 3-13.	Harlem Avenue Additional Right-of-Way Required.....	3-45



Figure 3-14.	CTA Additional Right-of-Way Required.....	3-46
Figure 3-15.	I-290 Bicycle Crossings – Reconstruction Section.....	3-51
Figure 3-16.	Projected 2040 East-West Arterial Volume Change for Alternatives versus No Build Alternative.....	3-56
Figure 3-17.	1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue Proposed Access Modifications.....	3-57
Figure 3-18.	25 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Proposed Access Modifications .....	3-57
Figure 3-19.	Local Cross-Street Bridge Typical Sidewalk Widths.....	3-61
Figure 3-20.	25 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements.....	3-62
Figure 3-21.	17 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Pedestrian Improvements.....	3-63
Figure 3-22.	9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Pedestrian Improvements.....	3-64
Figure 3-23.	5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Pedestrian Improvements.....	3-65
Figure 3-24.	1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue Interchange Pedestrian Improvements .....	3-66
Figure 3-25.	1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue and Van Buren Street Pedestrian Improvements.....	3-67
Figure 3-26.	1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue and Maybrook Drive Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements .....	3-68
Figure 3-27.	DesPlaines Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements.....	3-69
Figure 3-28.	Circle Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements .....	3-70
Figure 3-29.	Harlem Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements .....	3-71
Figure 3-30.	Oak Park Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements .....	3-72
Figure 3-31.	East Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements .....	3-73
Figure 3-32.	Ridgeland Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements.....	3-73
Figure 3-33.	Lombard Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements.....	3-74
Figure 3-34.	Austin Boulevard Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements .....	3-75
Figure 3-35.	Central Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements.....	3-76
Figure 3-36.	Laramie Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements .....	3-77
Figure 3-37.	Cicero Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements .....	3-78
Figure 3-38.	Home Avenue Pedestrian Bridge .....	3-79
Figure 3-39.	Lavergne Avenue Pedestrian Bridge.....	3-80
Figure 3-40.	New Shared-Use Path.....	3-81
Figure 3-41.	Environmental Justice Composite Analysis Summary by Census Block Group in the Project Corridor (Subarea 1).....	3-85
Figure 3-42.	Environmental Justice Composite Analysis Summary by Census Block Group in the Project Corridor (Subarea 2).....	3-86
Figure 3-43.	Environmental Justice Composite Analysis Summary by Census Block Group in the Project Corridor (Subarea 3).....	3-87
Figure 3-44.	Environmental Justice Composite Analysis Summary by Census Block Group in the Project Corridor (Subarea 4).....	3-88
Figure 3-45.	Origins and Job Destinations for EJ and non-EJ Communities .....	3-91
Figure 3-46.	GP Lane Alternative Projected East-West Arterial ADT Change and North-South Arterial Intersection Delay Change at I-290 Interchanges Compared to the No Build Alternative and EJ Communities .....	3-96

Figure 3-47. HOV 2+ Alternative Projected East-West Arterial ADT Change and North-South Arterial Intersection Delay Change at I-290 Interchanges Compared to the No Build Alternative and EJ Communities .....	3-97
Figure 3-48. HOT 3+ Alternative Projected East-West Arterial ADT Change and North-South Arterial Intersection Delay Change at I-290 Interchanges Compared to the No Build Alternative and EJ Communities .....	3-98
Figure 3-49. HOT 3+ & TOLL Alternative Projected East-West Arterial ADT Change and North-South Arterial Intersection Delay Change at I-290 Interchanges Compared to the No Build Alternative and EJ Communities .....	3-99
Figure 3-50. Free General Purpose Lanes and HOT 3+ Lanes in HOT 3+ Alternative .....	3-101
Figure 3-51. Tolloed General Purpose Lanes and HOT 3+ Lanes in HOT 3+ & TOLL Alternative .....	3-101
Figure 3-52. Off-System Improvements.....	3-104
Figure 3-53. MSAT Analysis Area .....	3-137
Figure 3-54. Potential Solar Garden Areas – Village of Oak Park.....	3-161
Figure 3-55. Addison Creek, north of I-290.....	3-170
Figure 3-56. Des Plaines River, north of I-290.....	3-170
Figure 3-57. Watersheds in the Project Corridor .....	3-174
Figure 3-58. Proposed Frontage Road Storm Sewer Location.....	3-205
Figure 3-59. Proposed Frontage Road and Local Drainage Detail.....	3-206
Figure 3-60. Existing Parks and Recreation Facilities .....	3-219
Figure 3-61. Veterans Park and the Dog Park – Proposed Access Improvements at Circle Avenue and I-290 .....	3-230
Figure 3-62. Park District of Forest Park – Roos Property .....	3-233
Figure 3-63. Columbus Park – West Side Trail Connection and Enhancements .....	3-235
Figure 3-64. Columbus Park – East Side Enhancements .....	3-235
Figure 3-65. I-88 Terminus of Study Area .....	3-239
Figure 3-66. Western Portion of Study Area .....	3-240
Figure 3-67. Mainline Roadway Views.....	3-240
Figure 3-68. Mainline Roadway View – Retaining and Noise Barriers.....	3-241
Figure 3-69. View at Mannheim Road/I-290 Interchange .....	3-241
Figure 3-70. View at 25 <sup>th</sup> Avenue/I-290 Interchange.....	3-242
Figure 3-71. View Proximate to South 21 <sup>st</sup> Avenue.....	3-242
Figure 3-72. Roadway View at 17 <sup>th</sup> Avenue .....	3-243
Figure 3-73. View Northeast of 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue .....	3-243
Figure 3-74. Mainline View near I-290/1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue Interchange.....	3-244
Figure 3-75. CTA Facilities near Circle Avenue .....	3-245
Figure 3-76. I-290 View at Harlem Avenue.....	3-245
Figure 3-77. Eastbound I-290 View East of South Oak Park Avenue .....	3-245

Figure 3-78.	I-290 Eastbound East of South Central Avenue.....	3-246
Figure 3-79.	Viewshed with CTA Trains .....	3-247
Figure 3-80.	I-290 Eastbound near South Damen Avenue .....	3-248
Figure 3-81.	I-290 Mainline View East of South Central Park Boulevard .....	3-248
Figure 3-82.	I-290 Eastbound West of South Pulaski Road .....	3-248
Figure 3-83.	Eastbound Mainline View West of South Paulina Street .....	3-249
Figure 3-84.	Eastbound I-290 Mainline View .....	3-249
Figure 3-85.	View at Hillside Avenue/Jackson Boulevard .....	3-250
Figure 3-86.	View from Frontage Road east of Hillside Drive .....	3-250
Figure 3-87.	Southwest View Proximate to Hillside Drive .....	3-250
Figure 3-88.	View Looking East near Harrison Street .....	3-251
Figure 3-89.	I-290 Mainline near Cernan Drive .....	3-252
Figure 3-90.	View along Harrison Street .....	3-252
Figure 3-91.	View of Bataan Drive near 23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue.....	3-253
Figure 3-92.	North Frontage Road along Harrison Street.....	3-253
Figure 3-93.	View Looking East near 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue.....	3-254
Figure 3-94.	View to I-290 from Garfield Street.....	3-255
Figure 3-95.	Northeast View to I-290 from Garfield Street .....	3-255
Figure 3-96.	I-290 at Lehmer Street and Ferdinand Avenue.....	3-256
Figure 3-97.	View along Harrison Street near Kenilworth Avenue.....	3-256
Figure 3-98.	View Proximate to Home Avenue at Harrison Street.....	3-257
Figure 3-99.	Views along Harrison Street.....	3-257
Figure 3-100.	View along Flournoy Street.....	3-257
Figure 3-101.	View along West Lexington Street .....	3-258
Figure 3-102.	I-290 View Proximate to South Kenneth Avenue.....	3-259
Figure 3-103.	View of Westbound I-290 from West Flournoy Street.....	3-259
Figure 3-104.	View of West Harrison Street.....	3-259
Figure 3-105.	View along West Flournoy Street .....	3-260
Figure 3-106.	View from West Flournoy Street at Kilpatrick Avenue.....	3-260
Figure 3-107.	View at I-290 Off-Ramps at Austin Boulevard .....	3-261
Figure 3-108.	View at Columbus Park Trail .....	3-262
Figure 3-109.	Columbus Park Trail on South Edge of Columbus Park.....	3-262
Figure 3-110.	Southeast Edge of Columbus Park with Trail.....	3-263
Figure 3-111.	Southeast Edge of Columbus Park .....	3-263
Figure 3-112.	View from Ballfields at Southeast Edge of Columbus Park.....	3-264
Figure 3-113.	Simulation of Cross-Street Overpass from I-290 Mainline.....	3-266
Figure 3-114.	Simulation of Cross-Street Overpass from I-290 Mainline with Noise Barriers .....	3-266
Figure 3-115.	Close-up Simulation of Cross-Street Overpass from I-290 Mainline.....	3-267
Figure 3-116.	Close-up Simulation of Cross-Street Overpass Detail from I-290 Mainline.....	3-267
Figure 3-117.	Frontage Road Community-Level Space .....	3-269
Figure 3-118.	25 <sup>th</sup> Avenue .....	3-270

Figure 3-119. Harlem Avenue Interchange .....	3-272
Figure 3-120. Austin Avenue Interchange.....	3-272
Figure 3-121. Home Avenue Pedestrian Bridge .....	3-273
Figure 3-122. Oak Park Signature Bridge Concept.....	3-274
Figure 3-123. Noise Barrier Visualization – Village of Westchester .....	3-276
Figure 3-124. Noise Barrier Visualization – Village of Oak Park .....	3-277
Figure 3-125. Noise Barrier Visualization – City of Chicago .....	3-278
Figure 3-126. Typical Cross-Street Section View with CTA Station .....	3-279
Figure 3-127. Typical Cross-Street Section View without CTA Station .....	3-279
Figure 3-128. CTA Blue Line Head Station Concept.....	3-280
Figure 3-129. Home Avenue Pedestrian Crossing .....	3-282
Figure 3-130. Home Avenue Pedestrian Bridge Concept.....	3-283
Figure 3-131. Ridgeland Avenue Schematic Landscape Plan.....	3-283
Figure 3-132. Concept Design – Ridgeland Avenue Overpass.....	3-284
Figure 3-133. Overpass Planter Boxes.....	3-285
Figure 3-134. Noise Barrier Visualization Base Design Character .....	3-286
Figure 3-135. Columbus Park Trail Connection .....	3-287
Figure 3-136. Primary Off-System Improvements .....	3-289
Figure 3-137. Construction Staging .....	3-291
Figure 3-138. Change in Population – Build vs. No Build Due to Highway Improvements .....	3-301
Figure 3-139. Change in Employment – Build vs. No Build Due to Highway Improvements .....	3-302
Figure 3-140. Change in Population – Build vs. No Build Due to Transit Improvements .....	3-303
Figure 3-141. Change in Employment – Build vs. No Build Due to Transit Improvements .....	3-304
Figure 4-1. Project Working Group Structure .....	4-2
Figure 4-2. DEIS Public Comments by Category.....	4-20
Figure 5-1. Four Build Alternatives Evaluated in Round 3 .....	5-3
Figure 5-2. Convertible Expressway Section – Initial and Ultimate Configuration .....	5-5

## List of Tables

---

Table S-1.	Comparison Matrix of Alternatives.....	S-12
Table S-2.	Build Alternatives Rank and Ratio Scoring Comparison Matrix .....	S-23
Table 1-1.	Regional 2040 Jobs Accessible by Auto from Study Area .....	1-8
Table 1-2.	Regional 2040 Jobs Accessible by Transit from Study Area.....	1-9
Table 2-1.	Summary of Prescreening Findings .....	2-4
Table 2-2.	Transit Modes Evaluated in Round 1.....	2-6
Table 2-3.	Expressway Modes Evaluated in Round 1 .....	2-7
Table 2-4.	Arterial Improvements Evaluated in Round 1 .....	2-7
Table 2-5.	Round 1 Evaluation Summary .....	2-11
Table 2-6.	Performance Comparison of Blue Line Extensions.....	2-15
Table 2-7.	Single Mode Performance Ratings.....	2-16
Table 2-8.	Combination Mode Alternatives Descriptions .....	2-21
Table 2-9.	Round 3 Travel Performance Comparison (Showing Change from 2040 No Build) .....	2-48
Table 3-1.	Population Changes in Project Corridor Communities 1950-2012 .....	3-5
Table 3-2.	Project Corridor Population, 2010 .....	3-5
Table 3-3.	Project Corridor Race and Ethnicity Characteristics, 2010.....	3-6
Table 3-4.	Population by Race and Ethnicity for Project Corridor Communities .....	3-7
Table 3-5.	Age Characteristics of Project Corridor Communities .....	3-9
Table 3-6.	Project Corridor Community Unemployment Percentages 2000 – 2014 .....	3-12
Table 3-7.	Housing Characteristics of Project Corridor Communities .....	3-13
Table 3-8.	Auto Ownership Characteristics of Project Corridor Communities.....	3-14
Table 3-9.	Travel Time to Work Characteristics of Project Corridor Communities .....	3-15
Table 3-10.	Employment by Major Industries for Project Corridor Communities .....	3-17
Table 3-11.	Alternatives Daily Vehicle Hours of Travel Savings and Annual Productivity Benefits Change vs. No Build Alternative.....	3-18
Table 3-12.	Public Facilities/Services in the Project Corridor.....	3-28
Table 3-13.	School Districts Serving Project Corridor Communities .....	3-29
Table 3-14.	Major Hospitals / Medical Centers in the Project Corridor.....	3-30
Table 3-15.	New Right-of-Way Required for Reconstruction of the I-290 Expressway .....	3-40
Table 3-16.	Project Corridor Lane Miles by Functional Class and Direction.....	3-47
Table 3-17.	Class I and Class II Truck Routes in Project Corridor .....	3-48
Table 3-18.	Projected 2040 I-290 Average Daily Traffic (ADT).....	3-53
Table 3-19.	Daily 2040 Study Area Arterial Travel Performance .....	3-54
Table 3-20.	Projected Daily 2040 I-290 Study Area Truck Performance.....	3-58

Table 3-21.	Job Accessibility Travel Time Comparison between No Build and Build Alternatives (Average of Six Job Destinations).....	3-92
Table 3-22.	Estimated Toll Cost per Build Alternative (Wolf Road to Ashland Avenue) .....	3-100
Table 3-23.	NRHP-Listed and Previously Determined NRHP-Eligible Historic Properties in the APE .....	3-111
Table 3-24.	NRHP-Eligible Historic Properties in the APE.....	3-116
Table 3-25.	National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3-124
Table 3-26.	Summary of Air Quality Monitored near Study Area.....	3-126
Table 3-27.	Project Area Attainment Status.....	3-128
Table 3-28.	2040 Regional Emission Burdens (Annual).....	3-133
Table 3-29.	2040 GHG Emission Burdens (Annual) .....	3-134
Table 3-30.	Construction GHG Emissions (Annual) .....	3-135
Table 3-31.	2040 MSAT Emission Burdens (Annual) .....	3-138
Table 3-32.	Noise Abatement Criteria .....	3-144
Table 3-33.	Noise Level Summary .....	3-148
Table 3-34.	Traffic Noise Impacts Summary by Build Alternative .....	3-157
Table 3-35.	Land Cover within the Project Corridor.....	3-162
Table 3-36.	Tree Data by Municipality .....	3-164
Table 3-37.	Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species within Cook County .....	3-166
Table 3-38.	State Listed Threatened and Endangered Species within Cook County .....	3-167
Table 3-39.	Potentially Impacted Trees by Origin and Municipality.....	3-168
Table 3-40.	Use Support and Impairment Summary for Project Corridor Water Bodies.....	3-183
Table 3-41.	Streams Crossed by the Proposed Project in the Existing and Build Condition.....	3-186
Table 3-42.	Watersheds Receiving Project Corridor Stormwater .....	3-188
Table 3-43.	Incremental Chloride Contribution Analysis.....	3-191
Table 3-44.	Designated 100-Year Floodplains within the Project Corridor .....	3-199
Table 3-45.	Proposed 100-Year Floodplain Fringe Impact Summary .....	3-201
Table 3-46.	Proposed 100-Year Floodplain and Regulatory Floodway Encroachment Summary.....	3-202
Table 3-47.	Proposed 100-Year Floodway Impact Summary .....	3-204
Table 3-48.	Summary of PESA Project Sites in Environmental Regulatory Databases.....	3-215
Table 3-49.	Existing Parks in the I-290 Project Corridor .....	3-220
Table 3-50.	Summary of Parkland Use – Village of Forest Park.....	3-232
Table 3-51.	Impacts to Visual Resources within and from outside the Project Corridor.....	3-265
Table 3-52.	Comparison of I-290 Study Area 2040 No Build and Build Forecasts...	3-305
Table 3-53.	Summary of Environmental Commitments .....	3-316

Table 4-1.	Corridor Advisory Group/Task Force Meetings .....	4-3
Table 4-2.	Summary of Outreach Methods (as of April 2017) .....	4-10
Table 4-3.	Public Meetings .....	4-11
Table 4-4.	Public Hearings .....	4-19
Table 5-1.	Comparison Matrix of Alternatives.....	5-6
Table 5-2.	Transportation Performance Comparison.....	5-13
Table 5-3.	Social/Economic Impact Comparison .....	5-14
Table 5-4.	Section 4(f) Resources Impact Comparison.....	5-18
Table 5-5.	Visual Resources Impact Comparison .....	5-19
Table 5-6.	Air Quality Impact Comparison .....	5-21
Table 5-7.	Special Waste Impact Comparison.....	5-21
Table 5-8.	Natural Environment Impact Comparison .....	5-23
Table 5-9.	Traffic Noise Impact Summary Table .....	5-24
Table 5-10.	Estimate of Implementation Cost Summary for Build Alternatives.....	5-26
Table 5-11.	Rank and Ratio Scoring Comparison of Build Alternatives .....	5-35

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**